1

Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences

Study Of Clinical Manifestations And Management Of Osteoporosis.

Utkarsha Dudhedia[1], Bhushan Salunke[1], Ashok Thorat[2], and Gopal Shinde[3]*.

[1]Orthopedic Surgeon, Class I, Civil Hospital, Nashik, Maharashtra, India.
[2] Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital, Nashik, Maharashtra, India.
[3]Professor and Head of the Department, Department of Orthopedics, MPGIMER, MUHS, Nashik, Maharashtra, India.

ABSTRACT

Our retrospective study investigates the demographic characteristics, clinical manifestations, and management patterns of osteoporosis within a cohort of 40 patients over a one-year period. The average age of participants was 67.5 years, with a predominant representation of females (75%). Comorbidities, particularly hypertension (37.5%), underscored the need for a holistic approach to osteoporosis management. Fractures were prevalent (62.5%), most commonly occurring in the spine (45%). Pain severity, measured at 4.2 ± 1.1 on the Visual Analog Scale, emphasized the importance of effective pain management strategies. Pharmacologically, bisphosphonates were the most prescribed medications (70%), while selective oestrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) demonstrated underutilization (20%). Lifestyle modifications, such as weight-bearing exercise (85%) and calcium/vitamin D supplementation (90% and 75%, respectively), showcased positive adherence trends. Smoking cessation efforts, however, indicated room for improvement with a 70% adherence rate.
Keywords: Osteoporosis, Clinical Manifestations, Pharmacological Interventions, Lifestyle Modifications

Corresponding author

1

INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis, a systemic skeletal disorder characterized by compromised bone density and microarchitectural deterioration, poses a significant global health concern, particularly among the aging population [1]. As bones become porous and fragile, individuals with osteoporosis face an increased risk of fractures, leading to diminished quality of life and heightened healthcare burdens. This multifactorial condition often remains asymptomatic until fractures occur, underscoring the importance of proactive management strategies [2].

Understanding the clinical manifestations and implementing effective management approaches are pivotal in mitigating the impact of osteoporosis [3]. This necessitates comprehensive exploration of risk factors, diagnostic techniques, and the intricate interplay of genetic and environmental influences [4]. Moreover, advancements in pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions demand scrutiny to optimize patient outcomes. This study endeavours to delve into the nuanced landscape of osteoporosis, examining its manifestations and addressing the evolving landscape of therapeutic modalities, with the ultimate goal of enhancing clinical understanding and refining management paradigms for this prevalent skeletal disorder [5].

METHODOLOGY

Our retrospective study on osteoporosis, a systematic approach was employed to analyze medical records and data from a cohort of 40 patients. The study period spanned one year, encompassing retrospective data collection from the archives of healthcare institutions. The primary objective was to examine the clinical manifestations and management patterns of osteoporosis in this specific cohort.

The inclusion criteria for the study involved selecting patients with a confirmed diagnosis of osteoporosis based on established clinical and imaging criteria. Data collection encompassed a retrospective analysis of patient demographics, bone mineral density measurements, fracture history, and details of prescribed interventions. Comprehensive records of pharmacological treatments, lifestyle modifications, and adherence to therapeutic regimens were meticulously reviewed.

Statistical analyses were then conducted to elucidate patterns and associations within the data set. Descriptive statistics were employed to summarize demographic information and clinical characteristics, while inferential statistical tests, such as chi-square and t-tests, were applied to explore potential relationships between variables. The one-year duration of the study facilitated a comprehensive retrospective analysis, providing valuable insights into the clinical landscape of osteoporosis within the specified sample size.

RESULTS

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Osteoporosis Patients (n=40)

Demographic Variable	Frequency (%) or Mean ± SD
Age (years)	67.5 ± 8.3
Gender (Female/Male)	30 (75%)/10 (25%)
BMI (kg/m^2)	25.4 ± 3.1
Comorbidities	Hypertension: 15 (37.5%)
	Diabetes: 8 (20%)
	Others: 17 (42.5%)

Table 2: Clinical Manifestations of Osteoporosis Patients (n=40)

Clinical Variable	Frequency (%)
Fracture History	25 (62.5%)
Sites of Fracture	Spine: 18 (45%)
	Hip: 10 (25%)
	Wrist: 7 (17.5%)
Pain Severity (Visual Analog Scale)	4.2 ± 1.1

1

Table 3: Pharmacological Interventions for Osteoporosis (n=40)

Medication	Number of Patients (%)
Bisphosphonates	28 (70%)
Calcium and Vitamin D	32 (80%)
Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators (SERMs)	8 (20%)
Teriparatide	5 (12.5%)

Table 4: Adherence to Lifestyle Modifications (n=40)

Lifestyle Modification	Adherence Rate (%)
Regular Weight-Bearing Exercise	85%
Smoking Cessation	70%
Dietary Calcium Intake	90%
Vitamin D Supplementation	75%

DISCUSSION

The findings of our retrospective study focus light on several key aspects of osteoporosis, offering valuable insights into the demographic characteristics, clinical manifestations, and management strategies among the studied cohort of 40 patients over a one-year duration [6].

Demographically, the average age of the participants was 67.5 years, indicative of the prevalence of osteoporosis in the elderly population. Notably, a higher representation of females (75%) was observed, aligning with the well-established gender predilection for osteoporosis [7, 8]. The increased prevalence in women may be attributed to hormonal changes during menopause, leading to accelerated bone loss. The study also revealed a diverse range of comorbidities among the participants, with hypertension being the most common (37.5%). This emphasizes the importance of considering the holistic health status of osteoporotic individuals, as comorbid conditions may impact treatment decisions and outcomes [9].

Clinically, fractures were a prevalent manifestation, with 62.5% of the participants having a history of fractures. The spine was the most common site of fractures (45%), followed by the hip (25%) and wrist (17.5%). These findings underscore the association between osteoporosis and an increased risk of fractures, particularly in weight-bearing areas. Pain severity, measured on the Visual Analog Scale, revealed a mean score of 4.2 ± 1.1, indicating a moderate level of pain among the participants. Effective pain management strategies are crucial in enhancing the quality of life for individuals with osteoporosis, emphasizing the need for comprehensive care beyond fracture prevention alone.

In terms of pharmacological interventions, bisphosphonates were the most commonly prescribed medications (70%), reflecting their established efficacy in reducing bone resorption and preventing fractures. Calcium and vitamin D supplementation were prevalent, with 80% of the participants receiving these supplements. This aligns with the recognized role of adequate calcium and vitamin D intake in maintaining bone health. Interestingly, only 20% of the patients were prescribed selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs), suggesting a potential underutilization of this class of medications in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. The use of teriparatide, a parathyroid hormone analog, was observed in 12.5% of the participants, emphasizing its role in stimulating bone formation in selected cases.

Examining adherence to lifestyle modifications, the majority of patients exhibited favourable adherence rates. Regular weight-bearing exercise, crucial for maintaining bone density, was reported by 85% of the participants. Smoking cessation, a modifiable risk factor for osteoporosis, showed a 70% adherence rate, suggesting room for improvement in tobacco cessation efforts. Dietary calcium intake and vitamin D supplementation had high adherence rates of 90% and 75%, respectively, indicating a positive trend in nutritional interventions.

The discussion of these findings prompts reflection on the holistic management of osteoporosis. First and foremost, the demographic profile highlights the need for targeted osteoporosis screening in the elderly population, particularly among postmenopausal women. This is crucial for early detection and

1

intervention to mitigate the risk of fractures and associated morbidity. Additionally, the prevalence of comorbidities emphasizes the importance of a multidisciplinary approach, involving collaboration with healthcare providers managing other chronic conditions.

The high incidence of fractures, especially in weight-bearing areas, emphasizes the ongoing challenges in fracture prevention. While bisphosphonates were widely prescribed, the study suggests a potential gap in SERM utilization, urging further investigation into the factors influencing medication choice. Furthermore, the moderate level of pain reported by participants underscores the need for comprehensive pain management strategies, integrating pharmacological and non-pharmacological approaches to improve overall well-being.

The observed adherence to lifestyle modifications is encouraging, highlighting the positive impact of patient education and awareness programs. Nonetheless, the study identifies opportunities for improvement, particularly in smoking cessation efforts. Addressing modifiable risk factors through targeted interventions can contribute to a more comprehensive and effective osteoporosis management strategy.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our retrospective study provides a comprehensive understanding of osteoporosis within a specific cohort. The findings underscore the importance of considering demographic factors, clinical manifestations, and management strategies in the holistic care of individuals with osteoporosis.

REFERENCES

[1]   Sözen T, Özışık L, Başaran NÇ. An overview and management of osteoporosis. Eur J Rheumatol 2017;4(1):46-56.
[2]   NIH Consensus Development Panel on Osteoporosis Prevention, Diagnosis, and Therapy. Osteoporosis prevention, diagnosis, and therapy. JAMA 2001; 285:785–95.
[3]   Cosman F, de Beur SJ, LeBoff MS, Lewiecki EM, Tanner B, Randall S, et al. Clinician's guide to prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. Osteoporos Int 2014; 25:2359–81
[4]   Cooper C, Campion G, Melton LJ., 3rd Hip fractures in the elderly: a world-wide projection. Osteoporos Int 1992; 2:285–9.
[5]   Reginster JY, Burlet N. Osteoporosis: a still increasing prevalence. Bone 2006;38(Suppl 1):S4–9.
[6]   Wright NC, Looker AC, Saag KG, Curtis JR, Delzell ES, Randall S, et al. The recent prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass in the United States based on bone mineral density at the femoral neck or lumbar spine. J Bone Miner Res 2014; 29:2520–6.
[7]   Watts NB, Bilezikian JP, Camacho PM, Greenspan SL, Harris ST, Hodgson SF, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists Medical Guidelines for Clinical Practice for the diagnosis and treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. Endocr Pract 2010;16(Suppl 3):1–37.
[8]   Tuzun S, Eskiyurt N, Akarirmak U, Saridogan M, Senocak M, Johansson H, et al. Incidence of hip fracture and prevalence of osteoporosis in Turkey: the FRACTURK study. Osteoporos Int 2012; 23:949–55.
[9]   Riggs BL, Wahner HW, Seeman E, Offord KP, Dunn WL, Mazess RB, et al. Changes in bone mineral density of the proximal femur and spine with aging. Differences between the postmenopausal and senile osteoporosis syndromes. J Clin Invest 1982; 70:716–23.